arXiv:math/0410505v2 [math.DS] 27 Oct 2004

The Rokhlin lemma for homeomorphisms of a Cantor set

S. Bezuglyi
Institute for Low Temperature Physics, Kharkov, Ukraine

A.H. Dooley
University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

K. Medynets
Institute for Low Temperature Physics, Kharkov, Ukraine

February 1, 2008

Abstract

For a Cantor set X, let $Homeo(X)$ denote the group of all homeomorphisms of X. The main result of this note is the following theorem. Let $T \in Homeo(X)$ be an aperiodic homeomorphism, let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$ be Borel probability measures on X, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $n \geq 2$. Then there exists a clopen set $E \subset X$ such that the sets $E, TE, \dots, T^{n-1}E$ are disjoint and $\mu_i(E \cup TE \cup \cdots \cup T^{n-1}E) > 1-\varepsilon$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Several corollaries of this result are given. In particular, it is proved that for any aperiodic $T \in Homeo(X)$ the set of all homeomorphisms conjugate to T is dense in the set of aperiodic homeomorphisms.

0. Introduction. One of the most useful results in ergodic theory which has many important applications is the Rokhlin lemma [R]. This statement asserts that given an aperiodic (non-singular) automorphism T of a standard measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $n \geq 2$, there exists a measurable subset $E \subset X$ such that $E, TE, \dots, T^{n-1}E$ are pairwise disjoint and $\mu(E \cup TE \cup \dots \cup T^{n-1}E) > 1 - \varepsilon$. It immediately follows from this result that the set of periodic automorphisms is dense in the group of all nonsingular automorphisms of $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ with respect to the metric $d(T_1, T_2) = \mu\{(x \in X : T_1 x \neq T_2 x)\}$ where $T_1, T_2 \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Subsequently, the Rokhlin lemma was generalized in various directions (see, for example, [AP, EP, FL, LW, OW]). It is well known that the Rokhlin lemma is also related to amenability.

Our goal is to prove a version of the Rokhlin lemma in the context of Cantor dynamics. To start with, we need to consider a topology on $Homeo(X)$ analogous to the metric d. It is well known that $Homeo(X)$ equipped with the topology τ_w

of uniform convergence (the sup-topology) is a Polish space. But τ_w is too weak to trace the dynamical properties of homeomorphisms. For instance, the set of pointwise periodic homeomorphisms is not dense in $Homeo(X)$ with respect to τ_w (more details see in [BDK1, BDK2]). In [BK1, BK2, BDK1, BDK2], we introduced and studied a topology τ which has its origin in measurable dynamics. It was shown in [BDK1, BDK2] that τ plays the same role in Borel and Cantor dynamics as the metric d plays in ergodic theory. Recall the definition of the uniform topology τ. For $T, S \in Homeo(X)$, define $E(S,T) = \{x \in X : Tx \neq Sx\} \cup \{x \in X : S^{-1}x \neq T^{-1}x\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ denote the set of all Borel probability measures on X.

Definition 1 *The uniform topology τ is defined by the base of neighborhoods $\mathcal{U} = \{U(T; \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n)\}$ where*

$$U(T, \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n, \varepsilon) = \{S \in Homeo(X) \,|\, \mu_i(E(S,T)) < \varepsilon,\ i = 1, \ldots, n\},$$

$T \in Homeo(X)$, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$.

$Homeo(X)$ is a Hausdorff topological group with respect to τ.

Remark that if one considers the set $E_0(T,S) = \{x \in X : Sx \neq Tx\}$ instead of $E(T,S)$ in the definition of τ, then the topology defined by $E_0(T,S)$ is equivalent to τ.

The main result of this paper is the Rokhlin lemma proved for an arbitrary aperiodic homeomorphism of Cantor dynamics. Let $\mathcal{A}p$ denote the set of all aperiodic homeomorphisms of X.

Theorem 2 *Let $T \in \mathcal{A}p$, $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $n \geq 2$. Then there exists a clopen set $E \subset X$ such that sets $E, TE, \ldots, T^{n-1}E$ are pairwise disjoint and*

$$\mu_i(E \cup TE \cup \ldots \cup T^{n-1}E) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \textit{for all } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

In contrast to measurable dynamics, we do not assume that μ_i is invariant (or non-singular) with respect to T. Furthermore, we prove in Theorem 2 that the base E of the tower $(E, TE, ..., T^{n-1}E)$ can be chosen clopen.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the following result. It turns out that a Cantor set X can be represented as a disjoint union of clopen T-towers of sufficiently large height where T is an aperiodic homeomorphism. Then we can reconstruct these towers to get new ones such that the union of bases and tops is of small measure.

We prove several statements which are immediate consequences of Theorem 2. In particular, we will show that every aperiodic homeomorphisms may be approximated in τ by periodic homeomorphisms with finite periods. We will further prove that, given $S \in \mathcal{A}p$, the set $\{TST^{-1} : T \in Homeo(X)\}$ is τ-dense in $\mathcal{A}p$. This property, called the Rokhlin property, was also studied in [GK, GW, R].

1. T-towers covering a Cantor set. Let $T \in Homeo(X)$ and let E be a clopen set such that $E \cap T^i(E) = \emptyset, i = 1, ..., n-1$. Then $\xi = (E, TE, ..., T^{n-1}E)$ is called

a T-tower with base E and top $T^{n-1}(E)$. The number $h(\xi) = n$ is the height of ξ and the clopen set $C = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i E$ is called the support of ξ.

If T is a minimal homeomorphism of a Cantor set X, then for every clopen set E one can easily find a finite collection of disjoint T-towers $\Xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_m)$ such that their supports $C_i,\ i = 1, ..., m$, cover X and E is the union of bases of these towers. Note that T maps the union of tops of ξ_i onto E.

In this section we show that a similar cover exists for any aperiodic homeomorphism T of X. The following proposition will be used in our proof of the Rokhlin lemma.

Proposition 3 *Let T be an aperiodic homeomorphism of a Cantor set X. Given a positive integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a partition of X into a finite number of T-towers $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$ such that the height $h(\xi_i)$ of every tower is at least n.*

Proof. Since T is aperiodic, every point $x \in X$ has a clopen neighborhood V_x such that the sets $V_x, TV_x, \ldots, T^{n-1}V_x$ are pairwise disjoint. The open cover $\{V_x : x \in X\}$ of X contains a finite subcover $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k\}$. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= V_1 \\ U_2 &= V_2 - U_1 \\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ U_k &= V_k - (U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \ldots \cup U_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

we get a disjoint finite cover of X by clopen sets such that $U_i \cap T^j U_i = \emptyset,\ j = 1, ..., n-1,\ i = 1, ..., k$. Throughout the proof we will deal with clopen sets only.

(a) The set Ξ will be constructed inductively. At the first step, we start with the T-tower $\xi_1 = (U_1, TU_1, ..., T^{n-1}U_1)$.

Let $C_1 = \bigcup_{s=0}^{n-1} T^s U_1$ be the support of ξ_1. If $C_1 = X$, we are done. If C_1 is a proper subset of X, consider the sets $U_i^1 = U_i - C_1,\ i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$. Note that some of the sets U_i^1 may be empty. Without loss of generality assume that $U_2^1 \neq \emptyset$. Notice that the first set from C_1 which could meet the T-orbit of U_2^1 is U_1. Define

$$U_2^1(i) = \{x \in U_2^1 : T^i x \in U_1,\ T^j x \notin U_1,\ 0 \leq j \leq i-1\},\ i = 1, \ldots, n-1,$$

and

$$U_2^1(0) = \{x \in U_2^1 : T^j x \notin U_1, \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}.$$

In particular, some of the sets $U_2^1(i),\ i = 1, ..., n-1$, may be empty. Each set $U_2^1(i)$ is the base of the T-tower

$$\xi_2^1(i) = \{U_2^1(i), TU_2^1(i), \ldots, T^{n-1+i}U_2^1(i)\}, \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1.$$

We see that the first $i-1$ sets of the tower $\xi_2^1(i)$ do not intersect ξ_1 and the other sets form a subtower in ξ_1. Remark that the towers $\xi_2^1(i)$ and $\xi_2^1(j)$ are obviously disjoint whenever $i \neq j$ but each tower $\xi_2^1(i),\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, meets ξ_1. To make

all of them disjoint, we delete all sets $T^iU_2^1(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from the base of the tower ξ_1. Take the set $U_1^1 = U_1 - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} T^iU_2^1(i)$ as the base of a subtower of ξ_1. Denote this subtower by ξ_1^1. At the end of the first step, the collection of disjoint T-towers $\Xi(1) = \{\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1(0), \xi_2^1(1), \ldots, \xi_2^1(n-1)\}$ each of height at least n. Denote by $C_1^1, C_2^1(0), C_2^1(1), ..., C_2^1(n-1)$ the supports of corresponding towers from $\Xi(1)$.

(b) We note that $C_1^1 \cup C_2^1(0) \cup C_2^1(1) \cup \cdots \cup C_2^1(n-1) = C_1 \cup C_2^1$ where $C_2^1 = \bigcup_{s=0}^{n-1} T^iU_2^1$. If $C_1 \cup C_2^1$ covers X, the proof is complete. If not, define the sets $U_i^2 = U_i^1 - C_2^1$, for all $i = 3, 4, \ldots, k$. It is easily seen that $\{C_1^1, C_2^1(0), C_2^1(1), ..., C_2^1(n-1), U_3^2, ..., U_k^2\}$ is a partition of X. Without loss of generality we assume that $U_3^2 \neq \emptyset$. For each tower ξ of $\Xi(1)$, put

$$U_3^2(\xi) = \{x \in U_3^2 : T^ix \text{ meets } \xi \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

And let $U_3^2(0) = U_3^2 - \bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi(1)} U_3^2(\xi)$. Put $\eta = \{U_3^2(0), \ldots, T^{n-1}U_3^2(0)\}$. Notice that η does not intersect any $\xi \in \Xi(1)$. We can apply now the construction used in case (a) to the set $U_3^2(\xi)$ and the tower $\xi \in \Xi(1)$. This means that we can partition $U_3^2(\xi)$ into the sets $\{U_3^2(\xi, i) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, where $U_3^2(\xi, i)$ contains all points whose orbits meet ξ precisely at the i-th step. Thus, we have defined a T-tower $\xi(i)$, $i = 1, ..., n-1$, with base $U_3^2(\xi, i)$. The height of this tower is $h(\xi) + i$ where $h(\xi)$ is the height of ξ. The towers $\xi(i)$ remove at most $n-1$ subtowers from ξ. Denote by ξ' the remaining part of ξ. Thus, ξ can be represented as a disjoint union of at most n T-towers. At the end of this step, the set $\Xi(2)$ consists of all $\xi', \xi(i)$ and η where $1 \leq i < n$ and ξ runs over $\Xi(1)$. Clearly, we have constructed a disjoint set of T-towers of heights at least n. The union of supports of these towers equals $C_1 \cup C_2^1 \cup C_3^2$ where $C_3^2 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} T^iU_3^2$.

(c) If $C_1 \cup C_2^1 \cup C_3^2 = X$, the proof is finished. If $C_1 \cup C_2^1 \cup C_3^2$ is a proper subset of X we consider the sets $U_j^3 = U_j^2 - C_3^2$, for all $j = 4, 5, \ldots, k$, and apply the above construction again. It is obvious that that after at most $k-1$ steps we will have constructed a collection of towers Ξ satisfying the conditions of the proposition. □

Corollary 4 *Let T be an aperiodic homeomorphism of X. Suppose that there exist a clopen set F and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N} T^iF$. Then for any integer $n \geq N$ there exists a finite partition $\Xi = \{\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_m\}$ of X into T-towers of height at least n such that the union of the bases of these towers is a subset of F.*

Proof. This result can be proved by the method used in Proposition 3. To do this, we start with a finite cover $\{U_1, ..., U_k\}$ of F by disjoint clopen sets such that $U_i \cap T^jU_i = \emptyset$, $0 < j < n$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then we can construct disjoint clopen T-towers of height at least n with bases containing in the U_i's as above. Since

$X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{N} T^i F$ and $n \geq N$ we that the towers we have constructed cover X. $\square$

2. Proof of the Rokhlin lemma. This proof will be based on the decomposition of X into a finite set of towers found in Proposition 3. In this proof, we again work with clopen sets only.

Proof of Theorem 2. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/m < \varepsilon$. Using Proposition 3 find a finite set of disjoint T-towers $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_q)$, consisting of clopen sets, such that $h(\lambda_i) \geq nm^k,\ i = 1, ..., q$. Denote by $V_1, \ldots, V_q$ and $B_1, ..., B_q$ the tops and bases of towers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q$, respectively. It follows from the construction of towers that

$$T(\bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} B_i.$$

Fix the measure μ_1. Let

$$F_l = \bigcup_{s=1}^{q} \bigcup_{j=(l-1)nm^{k-1}}^{lnm^{k-1}-1} T^{-j} V_s, \quad l = 1, \ldots, m.$$

Then $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m$ are disjoint clopen sets. Amongst these sets there exists at least one of them, say F_{l_1}, whose μ_1-measure is not greater than $1/m$. Denote this set by $F^{l_1},\ 1 \leq l_1 \leq q$. By construction, F^{l_1} consists of q towers

$$\lambda_s^{l_1} = \{T^{-j} V_s \ :\ (l_1 - 1)nm^{k-1} \leq j \leq l_1 nm^{k-1} - 1\}, \quad s = 1, 2, \ldots, q,$$

such that $h(\lambda_s^{l_1}) \geq nm^{k-1}$. Let $V_s^{l_1}$ denote the top of the tower $\lambda_s^{l_1}$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, q$.

Define the sets

$$F_l^{l_1} = \bigcup_{s=1}^{q} \bigcup_{j=(l-1)nm^{k-2}}^{lnm^{k-2}-1} T^{-j} V_s^{l_1}, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Since $F_1^{l_1}, F_2^{l_1}, \ldots, F_m^{l_1}$ are disjoint sets, at least one of them has the μ_2-measure not greater than $1/m$. Denote this set by F^{l_1,l_2}. Since $F^{l_1,l_2} \subset F^{l_1}$, we have that $\mu_i(F^{l_1,l_2}) \leq 1/m,\ i = 1, 2$.

We continue this construction by induction. At the last step, we have found a set $F^{l_1,\ldots,l_{k-1}}$ which consists of q-towers of height at least nm. Denote by $V_1^{l_1,\ldots,l_{k-1}}, ..., V_q^{l_1,\ldots,l_{k-1}}$ the tops of these towers. Define

$$F_l^{l_1,\ldots,l_{k-1}} = \bigcup_{s=1}^{q} \bigcup_{j=(l-1)n}^{ln-1} T^{-j} V_s^{l_1,\ldots,l_{k-1}}, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

As above, at least one of the sets $F_1^{l_1,\ldots,l_{k-1}}, ..., F_q^{l_1,\ldots,l_{k-1}}$ has μ_k-measure not greater than $1/m$. We denote this set by $F^{l_1,\ldots,l_k} = F_0$. Thus, by construction of F_0, we have that

$$\mu_i(F_0) \leq 1/m < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k,$$

and, moreover, $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} T^j F_0 = X$ (actually, this union is finite). We see that F_0 is formed by q T-towers which have the height n. Let $V_1^{l_1,\dots,l_k}, \dots, V_q^{l_1,\dots,l_k}$ be the tops of these towers. Denote

$$F = \bigcup_{s=1}^{q} V_s^{l_1,\dots,l_k}.$$

Then the above inequality for $\mu_i(F_0)$ implies that $\mu_i(\bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j} F) < \varepsilon$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Note that there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^K(V_s^{l_1,\dots,l_k}) = V_s$ for all $s = 1, \dots, q$.

Now we define a new finite collection Ξ of T-towers whose bases are clopen subsets of F. Let $D_{sp} = T^{-1}B_p \cap V_s$ and $C_{sp} = T^{-K} D_{sp}$, $1 \le s, p \le q$ (some of these sets may be empty). Clearly, $\bigcup_{s,p=1}^{q} C_{sp} = F$. Then $(C_{sp}, TC_{sp}, \dots, T^{h(sp)-1}C_{sp})$ constitutes a T-tower $\xi(sp) \in \Xi$ where the height $h(sp)$ of $\xi(sp)$ is defined from the condition $T^{h(sp)-1}C_{sp} \subset T^{-1}F$, $1 \le s, p \le q$. We get that the set $\Xi = (\xi(sp) : 1 \le s, p \le q)$ consists of T-towers whose supports form a partition of X and

$$\mu_i(\bigcup_{l=0}^{n-1} \bigcup_{s,p=1}^{q} T^{h(sp)-1-l} C_{sp}) < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

To finish the proof, define the clopen set E:

$$E = \bigcup_{s,p=1}^{q} \bigcup_{j=0}^{L(sp)} T^{jn} C_{sp}$$

where $L(sp) = [n^{-1}(h(sp)-1)]-1$. It is easily seen that $E \cap T^j E = \emptyset$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, and

$$\mu_i(E \cup TE \cup \dots \cup T^{n-1}E) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad 1 \le i \le k.$$

□

3. Corollaries. We give several immediate consequences of the result proved above. The next two corollaries can be easily deduced from the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary 5 *Suppose T is an aperiodic homeomorphism of X. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ be Borel probability measures, $n \ge 2$ a positive integer, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a clopen partition of X into a finite number of T-towers $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q\}$ such that:*

(i) for every tower $\xi \in \Xi$, $h(\xi) \ge n$;

(ii) for $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\mu_i(\bigcup_{s=1}^{q} \bigcup_{j=0}^{h(\xi_s)-n} T^j B_s) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where B_s is the base of ξ_s.

Corollary 6 *Suppose T is an aperiodic homeomorphism of X. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ be Borel probability measures and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a clopen set A such that $\bigcup_{j\geq 0} T^j A = X$ and $\mu_i(A) < \varepsilon,\ i = 1, ..., k$.*

Let T be an aperiodic homeomorphism of a Cantor set X. We recall the definition of *the full group* $[T]$ of homeomorphisms generated by T. By definition, a homeomorphism $\gamma \in Homeo(X)$ belongs to $[T]$ if the sets $X_n = \{x \in X : \gamma x = T^n x\}$ form a partition of X. In other words, there exists an integer-valued function $n(x)$ such that $\gamma x = T^{n(x)} x$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $\mathcal{P}er$ denote the set of pointwise periodic homeomorphisms of $Homeo(X)$. Consider the subset $\mathcal{P}er_0 \subset \mathcal{P}er$ consisting of all homeomorphisms with finite period, that is $P \in \mathcal{P}er_0$ if and only if there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P^m x = x$ for all $x \in X$. This means that X can be decomposed into a finite union of clopen sets X_p such that the period of P at each point from X_p is exactly p.

The following statement shows that every aperiodic homeomorphism can be approximated by a periodic homeomorphism in the uniform topology. This result has analogues in ergodic theory and Borel dynamics.

Corollary 7 *Let T be an aperiodic homeomorphism and let $U(T) = U(T; \mu_1, ..., \mu_n; \varepsilon)$ be a τ-neighborhood of T. Then there exists a periodic homeomorphism $P \in \mathcal{P}er_0$ such that $P \in U(T) \cap [T]$.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 5 for $n = 2$. We obtain a clopen partition of X into a finite number of towers $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q\}$ such that for all $i = 1, \dots, k$

$$\mu_i(\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} \bigcup_{l=0}^{h_j-2} T^l B_j) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

where B_j and h_j are the base and the height of ξ_j respectively. Now we can define the periodic homeomorphism P as follows.

$$Px = \begin{cases} Tx, & \text{if } x \in \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{q} \bigcup\limits_{l=0}^{h_j-2} T^l B_j, \\ T^{-(h_j-1)}x & \text{if } x \in T^{h_j-1} B_j \text{ for some } j = 1, ..., q. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $P \in [T] \cap \mathcal{P}er_0$. It is easy to see that P also belongs to $U(T)$. □

Corollary 8 *$\mathcal{A}p$ is a closed nowhere dense subset in $(Homeo(X), \tau)$.*

Proof. It was proved in [BDK2] that $\mathcal{A}p$ is closed in $(Homeo(X), \tau)$. It follows from Corollary 7 that $\mathcal{A}p$ is a subset of $(Homeo(X), \tau)$ with empty interior. □

Corollary 9 *Let $S \in \mathcal{A}p$. Then, for any $R \in \mathcal{A}p$ and $U(R) = U(R; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p; \varepsilon)$, there exists some $T \in Homeo(X)$ such that $T^{-1}ST \in U(R)$. In other words, Ap is the τ-closure of $\{T^{-1}ST : T \in Homeo(X)\}$.*

Proof. Given $R \in \mathcal{A}p$, $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, apply Corollary 5 with $n = 3$. We get a clopen partition of X into a finite number of R-towers $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q\}$ such that

$$\mu_i(\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} \bigcup_{k=0}^{h_j-3} R^k B_j) > 1 - \epsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, q,$$

where B_j is the base of ξ_j and h_j is its height.

Put $M = \max\{h_j : 1 \le j \le q\}$. Apply Proposition 3 with $n = M$ to the homeomorphism $S \in \mathcal{A}p$ and find a clopen partition of X into a finite number of S-towers $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l\}$ such that the height n_i of each tower λ_i is at least M. We can refine either Ξ or Λ to get the same number of towers in Ξ and Λ. Thus, without loss of generality, we can set $q = l$. Denote by Z_j the base of λ_j. Let Q_j be a homeomorphism which maps B_j onto Z_j, $j = 1, ..., q$, and let Q be a homeomorphism which sends $\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} R^{h_j-1}B_j$ onto $\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} \bigcup_{k=h_j-1}^{n_j-1} S^k Z_j$. Define the homeomorphism T as follows.

$$Tx = \begin{cases} S^i Q_j R^{-i}, & \text{if } x \in R^i B_j,\ 0 \le i \le h_j - 2,\ 1 \le j \le q \\ \\ Qx, & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} R^{h_j-1}B_j \end{cases}$$

In such a way, the homeomorphism T is defined on the set X. It is not hard to see that $E_0(R, T^{-1}ST) = \{x \in X : Rx \ne T^{-1}STx\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} \bigcup_{i=1,2} R^{h_j-i}B_j$. Therefore, $T^{-1}ST \in U(R)$. □

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank J. Feldman, A. Kechris, J. Kwiatkowski, and B. Miller for helpful discussions. The first named author thanks the University of New South Wales for the warm hospitality and the Australian Research Council for its support.

References

[AHK] Ahin, Hurley, Kennedy, *Dynamics of topologically generic homeomorphisms,* **Memoirs of the AMS, 164,** (2003).

[AP] S. Alpern, V.S. Prasad, *Typical Dynamics of Volume Preserving Homeomorphisms*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[BDK1] S. Bezuglyi, A.H. Dooley, J. Kwiatkowski, *Topologies on the group of Borel automorphisms of a standard Borel space,* preprint, 2003.

[BDK2] S. Bezuglyi, A.H. Dooley, J. Kwiatkowski, *Topologies on the group of homeomorphisms of a Cantor set,* preprint, 2003.

[BK1] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, The topological full group of a Cantor minimal system is dense in the full group, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, **16** (2000), 371 - 397.

[BK2] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, Topologies on full groups and normalizers of Cantor minimal systems, *Math. Physics , Analysis, and Geometry*, **9** (2002), No.3, 1 - 10.

[FL] J. Feldman, D. Lind, Hyperfiniteness and the Halmos-Rohlin theorem for nonsingular abelian actions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **55** (1976), 339 - 344.

[EP] S.J. Eigen, V.S. Prasad, Multiple Rokhlin tower theorem: a simple proof, *New York J. Math.*, **3A** (1997(98)), 11 - 14.

[GK] E. Glasner, J. King, A zero-one law for dynamical properties, *Topological Dynamics and Applications, Contemp. Math.*, **215** (1998), 215 - 242.

[GW] E. Glasner, B. Weiss, The topological Rohlin property and topological entropy, *Amer. J. Math.*, **123** (2001), 1055 - 1070.

[LW] E. Lehrer, B. Weiss, An ε-free Rokhlin lemma, *Erg. Th. Dyn. Syst.*, **2** (1982), 45 - 48.

[OW] D. Ornstein, B. Weiss, Ergodic theory of amenable group actions: I. Rohlin lemma, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **2** (1980), 161 - 164.

[R] V.A. Rokhlin, Selected topics from the metric theory of dynamical systems (Russian), *Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)*, **4** (1949), no. 2, 57–128.